



International Journal of Recent Advances in Multidisciplinary Research Vol. 04, Issue 01, pp.2223-2227, January, 2017

# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# ASSOCIATION OF THE ALVARADO CRITERIA WITH TOMOGRAPHIC FINDINGS FOR THE DIAGNOSIS OF ACUTE APPENDICITIS IN PATIENTS WITH ABDOMINAL PAIN ATTENDED AT THE EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT

# <sup>1</sup>Manuel Bartolo-Patoni, <sup>1</sup>Manuel Alejandro Hernández-Rojas, <sup>1</sup>Gustavo Leal-Mérida, <sup>1</sup>Ivanhoe Larracilla Salazar, <sup>1</sup>Laura Patricia García Solorio, <sup>2</sup>Maria Belem Hernandez-Hernandez, <sup>3</sup>FranciscaTrujillo-Jiménez and <sup>4,\*</sup>Cindy Bandala

<sup>1</sup>GeneraNavy Hospital of High Specialty, Mexico City, Mexico <sup>2</sup>Regional Hospital "General Ignacio Zaragoza", Mexico City, Mexico <sup>3</sup>Laboratory of Pharmacology, National Institute of Pediatrics, Mexico City, México <sup>4</sup>National Rehabilitation Institute, Mexico City, Mexico

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Article History: Received 27 <sup>th</sup> October, 2016 Received in revised form	<b>Background:</b> In acute appendicitis, abdominal computerized tomography (abdominal CT) has increased diagnostic certainty. The clinical criteria of Alvarado show some utility in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis.
Accepted 16 <sup>th</sup> December, 2016 Published online 30 <sup>th</sup> January, 2017	finding by abdominal CT in relation to the diagnosis of acute appendicitis in patients with acute abdominal pain.
Keywords:	<b>Material and methods:</b> We included 62 patients with suspected acute appendicitis treated in the emergency room. The criteria of the Alvarado Scale were related to the abdominal CT findings of the
Acute Appendicitis,	abdomen.
Criteria of Alvarado,	<b>Results:</b> Seventy-one percent $(n = 43)$ of patients diagnosed with appendicitis in relation to
Abdominal Tomography, Correlation, Adults.	abdominal CT findings and in agreement with the Alvarado Scale showed an average risk (4-6 points). the results adjusted to the Alvarado Scale score at 5 points as upper and lower limit to form two groups, 77% ( $n = 47$ ) of patients with appendicitis diagnosed in relation to Tomographic findings and in agreement with the Alvarado Scale showed a high risk (> 5 points). The coefficient of contiguity V of Cramer was 0.32 ( $p < 0.05$ ).
	<b>Conclusion</b> : We found a low coefficient of correlationn between Alvarado's criteria and abdominal CT.

# INTRODUCTION

Acute appendicitis is one of the main causes of abdominal pain in the emergency department that requires surgical treatment, occurs at any stage of life (Owen et al., 1992 and Alexander et al., 2007) The risk of presenting acute appendicitis throughout life is estimated at approximately 7% in the general population (Guss et al., 2008). The incidence is 11 cases per 10,000 a year.An adequate clinical history in combination with the clinical findings of localized peritonitis is usually sufficient to make the diagnosis of acute appendicitis (McKay et al., 2007, Frei et al., 2008, Ives et al., 2008). However, diagnosis is not always simple, especially in female patients with gynecological pathology who can simulate acute appendicitis (Mazeh et al., 2009, Pouget-Baudry et al., 2010). Variability in the anatomical position of the appendix and retrocecal or retroileal location may not allow patients to present sufficient peritoneal signs to support the diagnosis of acute appendicitis (Horn et al., 2011).

**\*Corresponding author: Cindy Bandala,** National Rehabilitation Institute, Mexico City, Mexico.

Several diagnostic scales have been proposed and created for acute appendicitis, the Alvarado clinimetric scale being the best known and the most used, since its use has been validated in multiple studies (Wang et al., 2012). To date, a reliable marker of acute appendicitis has not yet been identified, despite advances in technology and research modalities, the rate of negative appendectomies remains between 15% and 50% (Petroianu 2012, Bröker et al., 2012). A negative abdominal ultrasound (USG) study is not adequate to rule out appendicitis, so it should always be followed by a simple abdominal tomography.Research studies show that there is some benefit to perform a CT scan in patients presenting abdominal pain with clinical data few suggestive of acute appendicitis, especially in women to identify inflammatory pathologies of the adnexal type, and the criteria used in the Alvarado Scale (Shaligram et al., 2012, Meltzer et al., 2013). Most often occurs in late stages of appendicitis, with the risk of perforation and sepsis. Our aim was to determine the correlation between the Alvarado Clinical Scale and the radiological finding by abdominal tomography in relation to the diagnosis of acute appendicitis in patients with acute abdominal pain.

### **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

We included 62 files of patients with suspected acute appendicitis treated in the emergency department. The criteria of the Alvarado Scale were recorded and related to the tomographic findings of the abdomen.

#### Statistical analysisis

Contingency tables were used for the dependent and independent variables where the Chi square test was applied with a level of significance lower than 0.05. The coefficient of contingency or agreement of Cramer between the variables of interest was obtained considering a level of significance or of correlation of 0.3. We analyzed all the variables with normality tests for the qualitative and quantitative variables using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wills statistic, respectively. Means and standard deviations were determined for the quantitative variables. Absolute and relative frequencies were used for the qualitative variables. Contingency tables were used for the dependent and independent variables where the Chi square test was applied with a level of significance lower than 0.05. The coefficient of contingency or concordance of Cramer's V was obtained between the variables of interest considering a level of significance or correlation of 0.3. All data were analyzed using the SPSS program (IBM version 23).

#### **Ethical approval**

The protocol was reviewed and approved by the Ethics and Research Committee of the Navy General Hospital High Specialty., office number 2S.1.1014 / JP467 / 16.

#### RESULTS

A total of 148 clinical files of patients with acute abdominal pain attended at the General Hospital Naval de Alta Especialidad were studied in a 3-year period (2013, n = 29, 2014, n = 48, 2015 n = 71) (Figure 1). Of these 148, only 62 patients were operated on for acute appendicitis diagnosis, 37 were female, 25 were male, the mean age was 34.5 years in a range of 15 to 80 years (Table 1), they had an abdominal computerized tomography (abdominal CT) taken because patients have abdominal pain probable secondary to acute appendicitis. The Alvarado Scale was positive ( $\geq$  7) in 23% (n = 14) of the patients. The sensitivity of the Alvarado Scale to detect patients with acute appendicitis was 22.95%. The abdominal tomographic study was performed in 61 patients, 9% (n = 5); The most frequent finding was appendicular wall thickening and changes in periappendicular fat with a relative frequency of 57% (n = 35) (Table 2, Figure 2). The sensitivity of the tomographic findings to detect patients with acute appendicitis was 91.8%.

Table 3 shows that 21% (n =13) of patients with a diagnosis of appendicitis in relation to the tomographic findings and in agreement with the Alvarado Scale showed a high risk (4-6 points). The coefficient of contiguity V of Cramer was 0.02 (p <0.05), not evidencing a significance of correlation between both tests for the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. In Table 4, with the results adjusted to the Alvarado Scale score at 5 points as upper and lower limit to form two groups, 77% (n = 47) of patients with appendicitis diagnosed in relation to Tomographic findings and in agreement with the Alvarado Scale showed a high risk (> 5 points). The coefficient of contiguity V of Cramer was 0.32 (p <0.05), evidencing a significance of correlation between both tests for the diagnosis of acute appendicitis.

 Table 1.General characteristics of patients with acute appendicitis related to sex

	Mujeres N=37 (61 %)		Hombres N=24 (39 %)	Р
Age (years) Age intervals	$34.3 \pm 14.8$		37.4 ± 13.8	0.22
-	15 a 39 años	30 (81 %)	17 (71 %)	0.53
	40 a 65 años	4 (11 %)	6 (25 %)	
	>65 años	3 (8 %)	1 (4 %)	

 Table 2. Patients with a presumptive diagnosis of acute appendicitis in relation to the Abdominal CT findings

	Frequency
Thickening of the appendicular wall	5 (8 %)
Thickening of the appendicular wall + Dilation of appendicular light	9 (15 %)
Thickening of the appendicular wall + Periappendicular fat changes	35 (57 %)
Thickening of the appendicular wall + Dilation of appendicular light + Periappendicular fat changes	7 (11 %)
Report without acute appendicitis	5 (9 %)

 Table 3. Association of the Alvarado criteria score and the abdominal CT findings observed in patients with appendicitis

V de Cramer=0.02, p=0.03	Alvarado criteri	ia	
	Middle Risk	High Risk	
	(4-6 points)	(7-10 points)	Total
Acute appendicitis diagnosis by Abdominal CT	43 (71 %)	13 (21 %)	56(92%)
Non diagnosis of Acute appendicitis by	4 (7 %)	1 (1 %)	5 (8 %)
Abdominal CT			
Total	47 (78 %)	14 (22 %)	61(100%)

Table 4. Association of the Alvarado	criteria score and the abdominal CT
findings observed in patients with ap	opendicitis adjusted to a cutoff point

V de Cremer=0.22 = 0.02	Alvarado criteria		
v ue crainer $-0.52$ , p $-0.05$	Middle Risk	High Risk	
	(< 5 points)	(> 5 points)	Total
Acute appendicitis diagnosis by Abdominal CT	9 (15 %)	47 (77%)	56 (92%)
Non diagnosis of Acute appendicitis by Abdominal CT	4 (7 %)	1 (1 %)	5 (8%)
Total	13 (78%)	48 (22 %)	61(100%)



wonun

Figure 1. Prevalence of acute abdominal pain treated in the emergency room of the Hospital General Naval High Specialty



Figure 2. Tomographic findings of appendicitis a) Abdominal CT in a coronal section with a normal appendiceal image, b) Abdominal CT in a coronal section showing enlargement of the appendicular wall and dilation of the appendicular lumen, c) Abdominal CT in a coronal section showing appendicular dilatation and changes in peri appendicular fat, d) Abdominal CT in a coronal section showing thickening, dilatation, and changes in peri appendicular fat

### DISCUSSION

Acute appendicitis remains the most common abdominal pathology requiring emergency surgery (Memon et al., 2013). Epidemiological studies have shown that appendicitis is more common in patients younger than 15 years of age. In our study, the mean age was 35.6 years, similar to that reported by Andrew et al, with a predominance of females (Wray et al., 2013). For more than 100 years, the diagnosis of acute appendicitis has been based on medical history, physical examination and, to a lesser extent, laboratory results (Nelson et al., 2013). For experienced physicians, an accurate diagnosis is simple in most cases, however, an unsatisfactory diagnosis persists (Teixeira et al., 2013). There are numerous tools that can be used in the evaluation of patients with suspected appendicitis. However, these tools are used in practice largely depending on the availability of resources from each hospital (Aranda et al., 2013, McCutcheon et al., 2014). The main clinical manifestation of appendicitis is abdominal pain located in the right iliac fossa, provided that this is a typical case. In this study, 50 patients reported onset of pain in the epigastrium, subsequent migration to the right iliac fossa (Tan et al., 2015); In 12 cases there was atypical presentation, in which the diagnosis was difficult, becoming more relevant in the reproductive stage of the female sex due to the multiple pathologies of gynecological origin that can similar an appendicular picture (Apisarnthanarak et al., 2015, Pham et al., 2015). The Alvarado Scale is one of the Clinimetric tools that proposes to stratify patients' risk by their probability of having acute appendicitis. It uses a maximum score of 10 points, where those patients greater than 7 points are at high risk of presenting a picture of acute appendicitis.

The consensus is that the Alvarado score is a noninvasive, safe, diagnostic method that is simple, reliable and repeatable, and capable of guiding the clinician in the management of the case of appendicitis (Al- Abed et al., 2015, Ekere et al., 2013). However, a recent study showed a sensitivity of only 72% for the detection of acute appendicitis. In our study we reported a sensitivity of 22.95% which has led to criticism of the usefulness of the score for our population.Since the 1990s, researchers began to publish the results of studies in which abdominal tomography was used in the evaluation of suspected appendicitis. In Boston focused their investigations specifically on the reduction of negative appendectomy due to the high sensitivity that this diagnostic method has shown (Wray et al., 2013). The sensitivity reported by these authors was 91%, while that reported by our study was 92%. The use of simple abdominal tomography has allowed the reduction of negative appendectomies. In our study we evaluated the Clinical Association of the Alvarado Scale as a screening tool to determine which patients might be candidates for performing an abdominal tomography to confirm the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. The purpose is not to submit patients of low diagnostic risk to an extension study with risk of radiation and institutional costs in its implementation; Are independent diagnostic support methods, if there is no tomograph. The Alvarado criteria will be used. If you have the tomography resource to use it, because of the greater sensitivity and specificity. In the results obtained and compared, in relation to the current risk staging for the Alvarado Scale and the tomographic findings observed, we showed that there is no correlation between the two tests for the diagnosis of acute appendicitis in those patients with medium and low risk.

Performing the adjustment of the Alvarado Scale with a 5-point cut-off point best predicts a correlation coefficient for both tests being significant. This last observation allows us to adjust the diagnostic algorithm for those patients who are at a level greater than 5 points to undergo an abdominal tomographic study.

#### Conclusion

Abdominal CT has a greater sensitivity for the diagnosis of acute appendicitis compared to the clinical score of the Alvarado Scale. They have no association between them when presenting different cut-off points to determine the probability of diagnosis because they are independent tests. Our study provides supporting evidence for the inclusion of abdominal tomography in the clinical evaluation of acute appendicitis in those patients with a score level greater than 5 on the Alvarado Scale. The use of multimodal approaches (Alvarado Scale score and abdominal tomography) will help physicians select patients suitable for a surgical treatment.

#### Acknowledgment

To the Hospital General Naval High Specialty and to the Head of the General Surgery Service, Dr. Gustavo Leal Merida

### REFERENCES

- Al- Abed, Y.A., Alobaid, N. and Myint F. 2015. Diagnostic markers in acute apendicitis. *The American Journal Surgery*, 209(6):1043-1047.
- Alexander, V.R. and Ruedi, F.T. 2007. Current Concepts in Imaging of Appendicitis, *Radiol Clin N Am.*, Vol. 45: 411– 422
- Apisarnthanarak, P., Suvannarerg, V., Pattaranutaporn, P., Charoensak, A., Raman, SS. and Apisarnthanarak, A. 2015. Alvarado score: can it reduce unnecessary CT scans for evaluation of acute appendicitis?. *American Journal of Emergency Medicina*, 33(2): 266-270.
- Aranda-Narváez, J.M., Montiel-Casado, M.C., González-Sánchez, A.J., Jiménez-Mazure C., Valle-Carbajo, M. and Sánchez-Pérez, B. 2013. Radiological support for diagnosis of acute appendicitis: use, effectiveness and clinical repercussions. Cirugía Española, 91(9): 574-578.
- Bröker, M.E., Van Lieshout, E.M., Van der Elst, M., Stassen, L.P. and Schepers, T. 2012. Discriminating between simple and perforated appendicitis. Journal of Surgical Research 176(1): 79-83.
- Ekere, C., Lillie, A., Mehta, C. and Clarke, A.A. 2013. Plain abdominal radiograph diagnosis of apendicitis. *International Journal of Surgery Case Reports*, 2013; 4(12): 1091-1092.
- Frei, S.P., Bond, W.F., Bazuro, R.K., Richardson, D.M., Sierzega. G.M. and Reed J.F. 2008. Appendicitis outcomes with increasing computed tomographic scanning. *American Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 26: 39–44.
- Guss, D.A., Behling C.A. and Munassi D. 2008. Impact of abdominal helical computed tomography on the rate of negative apendicitis. *The journal of emergency medicine*, 34; 1; 7-11.
- Horn, A.E. and Ufberg, J.W. 2011. Appendicitis, diverticulitis, and colitis. Emergency Mededical Clinical North American. 29(2): 347–368.

- Ives, EP., Sung, S., McCue, P., Durrani, H. and Halpern E.J. 2008. Independent predictors of acute appendicitis on CT with pathologic correlation. *Acad Radiology*, 15 (8): 996-1003.
- Kaewlai, R., Lertlumsakulsub, W. and Srichareon, P. 2015. Body mass index, pain score and Alvarado score are useful predictors of appendix visualization at ultrasound in adults. Ultrasound in Med. and Biol, 2015; 41(6):1605–1611.
- Mazeh, H., Epelboym, I., Reinherz, J., Greenstein, A.J. and Divino, CM. 2009. Tip appendicitis: clinical implications and management. *The American Journal of Surgery*, 197: 211-215.
- McCutcheon, B.A., Chang, D.C., Marcus, L.P., Inui T., Noorbakhsh, A., Schallhorn, C., Parina, R., Salazar, F.R. and Talamini, M.A. 2014. Long-term outcomes of patients with nonsurgically managed uncomplicated appendicitis. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons*. 2014. 218(5): 905-913.
- McKay, R. and Shepherd, J. 2007. The use of the clinical scoring system by Alvarado in the decision to perform computed tomography for acute appendicitis in the ED. American Journal of Emergency Medicine, 25: 489–493.
- Meltzer, A.C., Baumann, B.M., Chen, E.H., Shofer, F.S. and Mills. A.M. 2013. Poor sensitivity of a modified Alvarado score in adults with suspected appendicitis. *Annals Emergency Medical*. 62(2):126-131.
- Memon, Z.A., Irfan, S., Fatima, K., Iqbal M.S. and Waqas, S. 2013. Acute appendicitis: diagnostic accuracy of Alvarado scoring system. *Asian Journal of Surgery*, 36(4): 144-149.
- Nelson, D.W., Causey, M.W., Porta, C.R., McVay, D.P., Carnes, AM., Johnson, E.K. and Steele, S.R. 2013. Examining the relevance of the physician's clinical assessment and the reliance on computed tomography in diagnosing acute apendicitis. *The American Journal of Surgery*. 205(4): 452-456.
- Owen, T.D., Williams, H., Stiff, G., Jenkinson L.R, and Rees B.I. 1992. Evaluation of the Alvarado score in acute appendicitis. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine*, 85: 87-88.

- Petroianu, A. 2012. Diagnosis of acute apendicitis. International Journal of Surgery, 10(3):115-119.
- Pham, H., Devadas, M. and Howle, J. 2015. Effect of surgical experience on the macroscopic diagnosis of appendicitis: A retrospective cohort study. *International Journal Surgery*, 16(PtA); 78-82.
- Pouget-Baudry, Y., Mucci, S., Eyssartier, E., Guesdon-Portes, A., Lada, P. and Casa C. 2010. The use of the Alvarado score in the management of right lower quadrant abdominal pain in the adult. *Journal Visceral Surgery*. 147: 40-44.
- Shaligram, A., Pallati, P., Simorov, A., Meyer, A. and Oleynikov, D. 2012. Do you need a computed tomographic scan to evaluate suspected appendicitis in young men: an administrative database review. *American Journal of Surgery*, 204(6): 1025-1030.
- Tan, W.J., Acharyya, S., Goh, Y.C., Chan, W.H., Wong W. K., Ooi LL. and Ong, H.S. 2015. Prospective comparison of the Alvarado score and CT Scan in the evaluation of suspected appendicitis: A proposed algorithm to guide CT use. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons*. 220(2): 218-224.
- Teixeira, P.G. and Demetriades, D. 2013. Appendicitis: changing perspectives. *Advances in Surgery*. 47: 119-140.
- Wang, S.Y., Fang, J.F., Liao, C.H., Kuo, I.M., Ou, Yang C.H. and Yeh C.N. 2012. Prospective study of computed tomography in patients with suspected acute appendicitis and low Alvarado score. *American Journal of Emergency Medicine*. 30(8): 1597-1601.
- Wray, C.J., Kao, L.S., Millas, S.G., Tsao, K. and Ko T.C. 2013. Acute appendicitis: controversies in diagnosis and management. *Current Problems in Surgery*, 50(2): 54-86.

\*\*\*\*\*\*