





International Journal of Recent Advances in Multidisciplinary Research Vol. 02, Issue 07, pp. 0534-0539, July, 2015

# **R**esearch Article

# SCREENING OF ACTIVE PHYTOCOMPOUNDS BY GC-MS ANALYSIS AND IN VITRO ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY OF ENDEMIC PLANT *POGOSTEMON MOLLIS* BENTH

# \*Muthuraj, K., Shalimol, A., Sivapriya, K.T. and Nagarajan, N.

Department of Botany, Kongunadu Arts and Science College (Autonomous), Coimbatore-641 029, Tamilnadu, India

as a plant of phytopharmaceutical importance.

Medicinal plants have has a vital role in human culture and civilization. Herbal medicine as a choice

for health care and the screening of medicinal plants for bioactive compounds is important. The study

aimed to determine the antibacterial activity and photochemical constituents through Gas

Chromatography- Mass Spectroscopy Analysis (GC-MS) and qualitative phytochemical screening of

Pogostemon mollis Benth. Belongs to family Lamiaceae and also to determine the purity of crud drug

by ash values. According to GC-MS analysis, totally 47 compounds were isolated by their retention indices (RI), retention time (RT) and mass spectra. The primary phytochemical analysis of the plant

extracts showed the presence of various secondary metabolites like phenol, flavonoids, terpenoids,

Pyosteroids, cardic glycosides, quinine and coumarians among this the methanolic plant extract

showed the significant results. The ash values showed high purity of drug. A wide range of

compounds were identified and they have many medicinal importances so that it can be recommended

### **ARTICLE INFO**

# ABSTRACT

*Article History:* Received 16<sup>th</sup> April 2015 Received in revised form 26<sup>th</sup> May, 2015 Accepted 23<sup>rd</sup> June, 2015 Published online 31<sup>st</sup> July, 2015

*Keywords: Pogostemon Mollis* Benth, GC-MS Analysis, Qualitative, Ash Values

# **INTRODUCTION**

Around the world millennia people have healed in sick by herbal derived remedies and handed down through generations. Traditional medicine is the sum total of knowledge, skills, practices. Based on the theories, beliefs and experiences indigenous of different cultures that are used to maintain health, as well as to prevent, diagnose, improve or treat physical and mental illness (WHO). Potentially valuable treasures in medicinal plants remain unexplored. We have to consider the scope of these medicinal plants by use more amounts of time and resources into developing medicines (Kirtikar and Basu, 1918). Medicinal plants are source of important therapeutic aids for alleviating human ailments. The present plant phytochemical compounds are valuable source of food, medicine and its products of plant metabolism are mainly used by the plants for their defence. The chemical components in plants have various biological roles in their therapeutic value (Nisa et al., 2011). The plants are sources of pharmaceuticals for human ailments, either as totally pure compounds or as synthetic analogy. Medicinal plants are the source for the development of new molecules.

\*Corresponding author: Muthuraj, K., Department of Botany, Kongunadu Arts and Science College (Autonomous), Coimbatore-641 029, Tamilnadu, India. Currently Pharmaceutical research is extensively focusing on natural compounds for developing active molecules of plant origin (Dev S. 2010). Approximately 25% of drugs prescribed in the United States are plant derived natural products and 74% of the 119 most important drugs contain ingredients from the traditional medicinal plants (Cochrane *et al.*, 2008).

The members of Lamiaceae family include aromatic plants that are being used in traditional medicine for various disorders. The therapeutic application of these plants is attributed to the presence of secondary metabolites or phytochemicals such as alkaloids, saponins, flavonoids, glycosides and phenols. They are known to have various biological activities such as antimicrobial, antifungal, antioxidant, etc (Vaishali Rai et al., 2013). Particularly Pogostemon genera are used by tribals mostly for its roots and leaves. The Fresh root or poultice of the leaves is applied on the snake bite of Phursa snake and other snake bites (Sen et al., 2008), Haemorrhage especially in uterine haemorrhage (BhuiyanMd et al., 2011) and leaf extract used as an insect repellent (The Wealth of India, 1998). Plant extract is used for the treatment of food poisoning, vomiting and stomach troubles (Sen et al., 2008). Respiratory tract infection (BhuiyanMd et al., 2011) and pollen and nectar were the source of panagol honey in Maharashtra (The Wealth of India, 1998).

Some plants have been used for antiseptic activity and in the treatment of enteritis, eczema and mycotic enteritis (Dymock *et al.*, 1810). Therefore, the present investigation aims to evaluating the phytochemical composition by qualitative method, GC-MS analysis and antibacterial activity in various extracts of the Lamiaceae family member *Pogostemon mollis* Benth.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **Collections of plant material**

Aerial and root parts of *Pogostemon mollis* Benth. were collected from Vellyangiri hills, Coimbatore district, Tamilnadu, India. They were identified by Dr. V. Balasubramaniam taxonomist and voucher specimen has been deposited in Kongunadu Arts and Science College, Coimbatore.

### **Preparation of plant extract**

The fresh aerial and root parts of *P. mollis* were washed with tap water and shade dried for a week and powdered coarsely. Then they were finely powdered mechanically using Pulverizer and passed through 40 mesh sieve and stored in airtight containers. About 250g of powdered aerial and root were extracted in soxhlet apparatus with petroleum ether, chloroform, aqueous, methanol and also the whole plant methanolic extract were taken for GC-MS (Gas Chromatography Mass Spectroscopy). The extract was dried under reduced pressure at low temperature (40-50°C). The last traces of the solvent were removed under Vaccum drier and the solid mass obtained was stored at 4°C until further use.

#### **Phytochemical Study**

#### Qualitative analysis

The qualitative tests were done to find out the presence of the active phytochemical constituents in the defatted extracts (Harborne, 1984; Wagner *et al.*, 1984).

#### Gas Chromatography analysis

Gas Chromatography (GC) analysis was carried out using Varian 5975 gas chromatography equipped with mass selective detector coupled to front injector type 1079. The chromatography was fit with VF 5 MS capillary column (30 m  $\times$  0.25 mm). The injector temperature was set at 240°C, and the oven temperature was initially be at 70°C then programmed to 300°C at the rate of 10°C / minute and finally held at 300°C for 10min. Helium was used as carrier gas with the flow rate of 1.51ml/min. The percentage of composition of extract was calculated by GC peak areas. The compounds were identified based on comparison of their retention indices (RI), retention time (RT) and mass spectra.

#### GC-MS- identification of compounds

Identification was based on the molecular structure, molecular mass and calculated fragments. Interpretation on mass spectrum GC-MS was conducted using the database of National Institute Standard and Technology (NIST) having more than 62,000 patterns. The name of the components of the test materials was ascertained.

The relative percentage amount of each component was calculated by comparing its average peak area to the total areas. The spectrum of the unknown component was compared with the spectrum of the component stored in the WILEY8 and NIST08 library version (2012) and turbomas 5.2 software.

### **Determination of Ash value**

#### • Determination of total ash

Total ash was determined by weighing 2 gm of the air dried crude drug in the tarred platinum or silica dish and incinerated at a temperature not exceeding 450°C until free from carbon and then was cooled and weighed.

#### • Determination of acid insoluble ash

The ash obtained from the previous process was boiled with 25ml of 2M HCl for 5 min. The insoluble matter was collected on ash-less filter paper and was washed with hot water, ignited, cooled in a dessicator and weighed. Percentage of acid insoluble ash was calculated with reference to the air dried drug.

### • Determination of water soluble ash

The ash was boiled with 25ml of water for 5 min. The insoluble matter was collected on ash-less filter paper and was washed with hot water, ignited for 15min. at a temperature not exceeding 450°C. The weight of the insoluble matter was subtracted from the weight of the ash and this represents the water soluble ash. Percentage of water soluble ash was calculated with reference to the air dried drug.

### Antibacterial activity

Antibacterial activity of the various extracts of *Pogostemon mollis* was determined by disc diffusion method (Bauer *et al.*, 1966).Nutrient agar medium was prepared and transferred into sterile petriplates. 25ml of the standardized bacterial inoculums was spread on agar medium using sterile cotton swab. The discs impregnated with extracts were placed on the inoculated agar medium. Ampicillin ( $10\mu$ g/disc) was used as standard to determine the sensitivity of each microbial species. All the petriplates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. After the incubation period, diameter of zone of inhibition was measured.

### Composition of nutrient agar medium for bacterial culture

| S. No. | Composition     | Quantity (g) |
|--------|-----------------|--------------|
| 1      | Peptone         | 5.0          |
| 2      | Beef extract    | 3.0          |
| 3      | Sodium chloride | 5.0          |
| 4      | Agar            | 15.0         |
| 5      | Distilled water | 1000 ml      |
|        | pН              | 7.0          |

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Phytochemicals are classified as primary or secondary constituents based on their role in plant metabolism (Wadood et al., 2013). The present study progress the qualitative phytochemical screening with peteroleum ether, chloroform, aqueous and methanol extracts of *Pogostemon mollis* and the result showed the presence of secondary metabolites which are clearly depicted Table 1. Which indicated the presence of phenol, flavonoids, terpenoids, Pyosteroids, cardic glycosides, quinine and coumarians. In our study, phenolic compounds are the major phytoconstituents. Whereas Subhadra Devi, (2014) documented the phenolic compounds are largest and the most widely distributed category of phytochemicals in the plant kingdom. The flavonoids, phenolic acids and polyphenols are the most important and complex group of chemical constituents.

The most of the phytoconstituents were present in methanolic extract Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectroscopy, a hyphenated system which is a very compatible technique and the most commonly used technique for the identification and quantification purpose. The unknown organic compounds in a complex mixture can be determined by interpretation and also by matching the spectra with reference spectra (Hites, 1997). In GC-MS analysis, 47 bioactive phytochemical compounds were identified in the methanolic extract of P. mollis. The identification of phytochemical compounds is based on the peak area and index kovats. According to that the percentage composition of the various components are listed in Table 2. There are many reports on the Pogostemon species phytoconstituents though GC-MS likewise P. cablin and P. travancoricus (Sundaresan et al., 2009). Similar study was reported by Shobo et al., (2015) in the lamiaceae plant methanolic leaves extracts of ocimum canum.

Table 1. Preliminary qualitative phytochemical screening with various extracts of Pogostemon mollis Benth

| S.No | Test                           | Parts   | Petrolum ether | Chloroform     | Methanol | Aqueous  |
|------|--------------------------------|---------|----------------|----------------|----------|----------|
| 1    | Alkaloids                      |         |                |                |          |          |
|      | A) Mayer's test                | Aerial  | -              | -              | -        | -        |
|      | , 5                            | Root    | -              | -              | -        | -        |
|      | B) Wagner's test               | Aerial  | -              | -              | -        | -        |
|      | <i>, c</i>                     | Root    | -              | -              | -        | -        |
|      | C) Dragedroff's test           | Aerial  | -              | -              | -        | -        |
|      | , .                            | Root    | -              | -+             | -+       | +-       |
| 2    | Carbohydrate                   |         |                |                |          |          |
|      | A) Fehiling test               | Aerial  | -              | -              | ++       | ++       |
|      | , C                            | Root    | -              | -              | ++       | ++       |
|      | B) Barfoed's test              | Aerial  | -              | -              | ++       | +-       |
|      | 2) 201000 5 000                | Root    | -              | -+             | ++       | _        |
|      | C) Benidic test                | Aerial  | _              | _              | +-       | +-       |
|      | c) bendie test                 | Root    | _              | _              | ++       | ++       |
| 3    | Glycoside                      | Root    | -              | -              |          |          |
| 5    | Legals test                    | Aerial  | _              | _              | _        | _        |
|      | Lequis test                    | Root    |                | _              | _        | -        |
| 4    | Drotions                       | Root    | _              | _              | _        | _        |
| 4    | A)Millon's test                | Aprial  |                |                |          |          |
|      | A)MIIIOII S test               | Poot    | -              | -              |          | -+       |
|      | D)Divert test                  | Aprial  | -              | -              | -        | -        |
|      | D)Diulet lest                  | Aeriai  | -              | -              | ++       | -+       |
| 5    | Amina aaid                     | KOOL    | -              | -+             | ++       | ++       |
| 3    | Allino acid<br>Ninhydring tost | Aprial  |                |                |          |          |
|      | Nininyai me test               | Poot    | -              | -              | -        | -        |
| 6    | Dutastarala                    | Aprial  | -              | -              | -        | -        |
| 0    | Pytosterois                    | Aeriai  |                | TT             | -        | -        |
| 7    | Tonning                        | Aprial  | -              | -              | -        | -        |
| /    | Taininis                       | Poot    | -              | -              | -        |          |
| 0    | Dhanala                        | Aprial  | -              | -+             | ++       | -        |
| 0    | Phenois                        | Aeriai  | -+             | -+             | ++       | ++       |
| 0    | Flovenieda                     | Aprial  | -              | -              | ++       | ++       |
| 9    | Flavallous                     | Poot    | -              | -              | ++       | -+       |
| 10   | Comparing                      | Aprial  | -              | -              | ++       | ++       |
| 10   | Coumarins                      | Aeriai  | τ-             | -              | ++       | ++       |
| 11   | Sananin                        | Aprial  | -              | -+             | ++       | +-       |
| 11   | Saponni                        | Poot    | -              |                | ++       | +-       |
| 12   | Quinina                        | Aprial  | -              | - <del>+</del> | ++       | -+<br>++ |
| 12   | Quinnie                        | Poot    | -              | ++             | ++       |          |
| 12   | Cardina alvansidas             | Aprial  |                | ++             | ++       | -        |
| 13   | Cardiac grycosides             | Renai   | -              | ++             | ++       |          |
| 14   | Terneneid                      | Aorial  | TT             | ++             | ++       | -        |
| 14   | Terpenoid                      | Renai   | -              | ++             | ++       |          |
| 15   | Steroids and phytostoroids     | A orial |                | ++<br>+ '      |          | -<br>-   |
| 13   | steroius and phytosteroius     | Deet    | -              | ++             | -        | -+       |
|      |                                | Koot    | ++             | ++             | -        | -        |
| 16   | Fixed oils                     | Aerial  | -+             | -              | -        | -+       |
|      |                                | Root    | +-             | +-             | +-       | +-       |
| 17   | Gum and mucilages              | Aerial  | -              | +-             | -        | -        |
|      |                                | Root    | -              | -              | -        | -        |

| 053 | 7 |
|-----|---|
|-----|---|

| Table 2. Gas Chromatography - | <ul> <li>Mass Spectroscopy</li> </ul> | analysis in methanolic | e extract of <i>Pogostemon</i> | mollis Benth |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|

| Peak# | R.time | Area% | Formula     | Mol. Weight | Mark       | Name                                       |
|-------|--------|-------|-------------|-------------|------------|--|
| 1     | 5.613  | 1.92  | C6H6O2      | 110         | MI         | 2-FURANMETHANOL                            |
| 2     | 6.995  | 1.33  | C5H6O2      | 98          | MI         | 1,2-CYCLOOCTANEDIONE                       |
| 3     | 7.567  | 0.85  | C6H6O2      | 110         |            | 2-FURANCARBOXALDEHYDE, 5-M                 |
| 4     | 7.834  | 0.59  | C6H8O4      | 144         |            | 2,4-Dihydroxy-2,5-dimethyl-3(2H)-fura      |
| 5     | 8.815  | 0.12  | C4H6O3      | 102         | MI         | 2-Hydroxy-gamma-butyrolactone              |
| 6     | 9.067  | 0.58  | C8H16O      | 128         | MI         | 2-OCTANONE                                 |
| 7     | 9.555  | 1.09  | C10H18O2    | 170         |            | 2-FURANMETHANOL, 5-ETHENYL                 |
| 8     | 9.808  | 1.83  | C5H8N2O2    | 128         |            | Hydrouracil, 1-methyl-                     |
| 9     | 10.325 | 1.23  | C6H6O3      | 126         |            | 4H-PYRAN-4-ONE, 3-HYDROXY-2-M              |
| 10    | 10.542 | 2.68  | C5H8O4      | 132         | V          | Butanedioic acid, monomethyl ester         |
| 11    | 10.850 | 0.29  | C16H34O5Si2 | 362         |            | 1,1,3,3-TETRAMETHYL-1,3-BIS[3-(2           |
| 12    | 11.039 | 9.27  | C6H8O4      | 144         | V          | 4H-Pyran-4-one, 2,3-dihydro-3,5-dihydr     |
| 13    | 12.059 | 2.34  | C8H8O       | 120         |            | Benzofuran, 2,3-dihydro-                   |
| 14    | 12.475 | 22.21 | C6H6O3      | 126         | V          | 2-FURANCARBOXALDEHYDE, 5-(H                |
| 15    | 12.529 | 8.82  | C6H6O3      | 126         | V          | 5-(HYDROXYMETHYL)-2-FURALDE                |
| 16    | 13.342 | 2.63  | C9H10O2     | 150         |            | 2-METHOXY-4-VINYLPHENOL                    |
| 17    | 13.917 | 0.18  | C8H10O3     | 154         |            | Phenol, 2,6-dimethoxy-                     |
| 18    | 14.525 | 0.33  | C10H11ClO3  | 214         | MI         | 4-CHLOR-2-METHYLPHENOXYESS                 |
| 19    | 15.323 | 0.73  | C10H18O3    | 186         | MI         | Hexanoic acid, 2-acetyl-, ethyl ester      |
| 20    | 15.811 | 1.44  | C8H10O2     | 138         | MI         | Benzeneethanol, 4-hydroxy-                 |
| 21    | 17.551 | -0.16 | C9H16O      | 140         | MI         | 2-CYCLOHEXEN-1-OL, 1,4,4-TRIME             |
| 22    | 19.076 | 1.08  | C13H21NO2   | 223         |            | DOET P1009                                 |
| 23    | 21.489 | 1.02  | C13H18O     | 190         |            | Megastigmatrienone                         |
| 24    | 22.033 | 0.67  | C20H40O31   | 328         | MI         | 2-HYDROXYHEXADECYL BUTANO                  |
| 25    | 24.100 | 5.61  | C8H8O4      | 168         |            | Benzeneacetic acid, 3,4-dihydroxy-         |
| 26    | 24.208 | 1.29  | C8H8O4      | 168         | V          | Benzeneacetic acid, 3,4-dihydroxy-         |
| 27    | 24.350 | 1.10  | C10H12O4    | 196         | V          | 2-BUTYNYL-5-HYDROXY-3-OXO-4                |
| 28    | 26.017 | -0.20 | C15H32O     | 228         | MI         | n-Pentadecanol                             |
| 29    | 26.826 | 0.41  | C10H22O6    | 238         | MI         | Pentaethylene glycol                       |
| 30    | 28.515 | 1.80  | C17H34O2    | 270         |            | HEXADECANOIC ACID, METHYL E                |
| 31    | 28.837 | 0.42  | C18H28O3    | 292         |            | METHYL ESTER OF 3-(3,5-DI-TERT-            |
| 32    | 29.222 | 6.78  | C17H34O2    | 270         |            | n-Hexadecanoic acid                        |
| 33    | 31.003 | 1.05  | C19H34O2    | 294         |            | 9,12-Octadecadienoic acid (Z,Z)-, methy    |
| 34    | 31.094 | 2.36  | C19H32O2    | 292         | V          | 9,12,15-OCTADECATRIENOIC ACID              |
| 35    | 31.259 | 0.36  | C20H40O     | 296         | V          | 2-HEXADECEN-1-OL, 3,/,11,15-TETR           |
| 36    | 31.411 | 0.80  | C19H38O2    | 298         | * 7        | Octadecanoic acid, methyl ester            |
| 3/    | 31.583 | 1.6/  | C18H32O2    | 280         | V          | 9,12-OCTADECADIENOIC ACID (Z,Z             |
| 38    | 31.700 | 4.91  | C18H30O2    | 278         | V          | 9,12,15-Octadecatrienoic acid, $(Z,Z,Z)$ - |
| 39    | 31.933 | 1.85  | C18H36O2    | 284         | V          | Octadecanoic acid                          |
| 40    | 32.192 | 1.20  | C19H34O2    | 294         |            | 9,12-Octadecadienoic acid $(Z,Z)$ -, meth  |
| 41    | 32.679 | 0.74  | C19H34O2    | 294         |            | 9,12-Octadecadienoic acid (Z,Z)-           |
| 42    | 35.433 | 0.36  | C19H36O3    | 312         | м          | 9-OCTADECENSAEURE, 12-HYDRO                |
| 45    | 35.152 | 0.30  | C20H28U2    | 300         | IVII       | 1-PRENANTHRENEUAKBUAYLIUA                  |
| 44    | 35.603 | 1.36  | C20H30O     | 286         | М          | I-PHENANIHKENEMETHANOL, 1,2                |
| 45    | 30.372 | 0.20  | C19H38U4    | 530         | IVII<br>MI | Exadecanoic acid, 2-nydroxy-1-(nydro       |
| 46    | 36.678 | 0.37  | C29H50O     | 414         | MI         | SIIGMASI-5-EN-3-UL, (3.BETA.,24S)          |
| 47    | 38.222 | 2.10  | C28H46O     | 398         |            | EKGUSTA-5,24(28)-DIEN-3-OL, (3.BE          |

Table 3. Antibacterial activity of various extract of Pogostemon mollis Benth

| Bacterial stein        | Control | Plant extracts  |            |          |             |
|------------------------|---------|-----------------|------------|----------|-------------|
|                        |         | Petroleum ether | Chloroform | Methanol | Aqueous     |
| E. coli                | 21±0.43 | 11±0.23         | 10±0.22    | 15±0.18  | 13±0.24     |
| Proteus mirabilis      | 26±0.26 | 10±0.31         | 12±0.27    | 10±0.25  | $14\pm0.42$ |
| Streptococcus pyogenes | 22±0.17 | 13±0.42         | 15±0.19    | 18±0.33  | 16±0.38     |
| Bacillus thuriginesis  | 23±0.52 | 8±0.14          | 8±0.26     | 7±0.32   | 9±0.21      |
| Eutrococcus faccalis   | 20±0.29 | 7±0.11          | 9±0.36     | 15±0.27  | 12±0.22     |

Ash value is useful in determining authenticity, purity of sample and also these values are important in qualitative standards. The total ash containing physiological ash derived from plant tissue and non- physiological ash derived from environment contaminations. The plant quality not only determined by total ash value but also by water soluble and acid insoluble ash (Rao and Xiang, 2009). In this investigation the result were indicated the high purity, quality in plant extract (Table: 3) and determination of these parameters are important in order to maintain the purity of the herbal medicine (Gami and Parabia 2010; Kunle *et al.*, 2012).

The analysis of various extracts effects on the bacterial strains of *Pogostemon mollis* plant. The plant extracts showed the highest microbial efficiency while the wild thyme the lowest efficiency.

#### Table 4. Physiological ash values of Pogostemon mollis Benth

| Total ash (%) | Water soluble ash(%) | Acid insoluble ash(%) |
|---------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| 17            | 15                   | 7                     |



By using disk diffusion method according to the standard conditions the diameter of the inhibition zone is proportional to the logarithm of the various extracts of the substance studied. The results obtained with all tested bacteria showed that the inhibition zone diameter was proportional to the logarithm of the tested extracts. The significant differences were found in antibacterial activities in all bacterial samples (Tables 2 and 3). The methanolic extract were showed positive result in *Streptococcus pyogenes* (18 $\pm$ 0.33mm) followed by *Proteus mirabilis* (14 $\pm$ 0.42mm) and in others appeared that the difference in antibacterial activities due to the nature of contents.

In the last few years, a number of studies have been conducted in different countries to prove such efficiency. The plant extracts and essential oils of the herbal belonging to Lamiaceae family, which known antimicrobial properties of great significance in therapeutic treatments. Many plants have been used because of their antimicrobial traits, which are due to compounds synthesized in the secondary metabolism of the plant. Several studies indicate that possess biological activity against several bacteria (Delamare *et* al., 2007; Faleiro*et al.*, 2003; Sarac and Ugur, 2007).

#### Conclusion

The results of this study showed that the plant extract contain significant phytochemical compounds and significant antimicrobial activity. The antimicrobial activity seemed to be dependent mostly on herbal source and also on bacterial genera. Further investigations regarding the in vitro and in vivo toxicity should be conducted in order to develop such products.

# REFERENCES

- Bauer A.W., Kirby W.M.M., Sherris J.C. and Turck M. 1966. Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing by a Standardized Single Disk Method. *American Journal of Clinical Pathology*. 45: 493-496.
- BhuiyanMd, N.I., Varshney, V.K., Shiam, C.V., Arvind, T. and Farhana. 2011. Composition of essential oil of the leaf and inflorescence of Pogostemon benghalensis (Burm.f.) Kuntze. *Int. Res. J. Plant Sci.*, 2(9): 271-5.
- Cochrane, C.B., Nair, P.K.R., Melnick, S.J., Resek, A.P. and Ramachandran, C. 2008. Anticancer Effects of Annona glabra Plant Extracts in Human Leukemia Cell Lines. *Anticancer Research*, 28: 965-972.
- Delamare, A.P.L., Pistorello, I.T.M., Artico, Liane, Serafini L.A. and Echeverrigaray S. 2007. Antibacterial activity of the essential oils of Salvia officinalis L. and Salvia triloba L. cultivated in South Brazil. *Food Chemistry*,100: 603-608.
- Dev, S. 2010. Impact of natural products in modern drug development. *Indian Journal of Experimental biology*. 48: 91-198.
- Dymock, D., Warden, C.J.H. and Hooper, D. 1810. Pharmacographia Indica. 3: 74-75.
- Faleiro M.L., Miguel M.G., Ladeiro F., Venancio F., Tavares R., Brito J.C., Figueiredo A.C., Barroso J.G. and Pedro L.G. 2003. Antimicrobial activity of essential oils isolated from Portuguese endemic species of Thymus. *Lett Appl Microbiol*, 36(1): 35-40.
- Gami B. and Parabia M.H. 2010. Pharmacognostic evaluation of bark and seeds of Mimusop selengi L. International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, 2: 110-113.

#### International Journal of Recent Advances in Multidisciplinary Research

- Harborne, J.B. 1984. Phytochemical methods, London. Chapman and Hall, Ltd. 100-101.
- Hites, A.R. 1997. Gas Chromatography Mass Spectroscopy: Handbook of Instrumental Techniques for Analytical Chemistry. p. 609-611.
- Kirtikar, K.R. and Basu, B.D. 1918. Indian Medicinal Plants. Indian Press. p. 34-44.
- Kunle, O.F., Egharevba, H.O. and Ahmadu P.O. 2012. Standardization of herbal medicines - A review. *International Journal of Biodiversity and Conservation*. 4 (3): 101-112.
- Nisa, S., Bibi, Y., Waheed, A., Zia, M., Sarwar, S., Ahmed, S. and Chaudhary, F.M. 2011. Evaluation of anticancer activity of Debregeasia salicifolia extract against estrogen receptor positive cell line. *African journal of Biotechnology*, 10: 990-995.
- Raoy and Xiang B. 2009. Determination of total ash and acid insoluble ash of Chinese herbal medicine prunellae spica by Near Infraved spectroscopy. *The pharmaceutical society of Japan*, 129 (7): 881-886.
- Sarac, N. and Ugur, A. 2007. Antimicrobial activities and usage in folkloric medicine of some Lamiaceae species growing in Mugla. *Turkey. Eur. Asia. J. Bio. Sci.*, 1(4): 28-34.
- Sen, P., Dollo, M., Choudhury, M.D. and Choudhury, D. 2008. Documentation of traditional herbal knowledge of Khamptis of Arunachal Pradesh. *Indian J. Trad. Know.*, 7(3): 438-42.
- Shobo, A.O., Anokwuru, C., Jokotagba, O.A, Koleoso, O.K. and Tijani, R.O.2015.Chemical compositionof methanolic

extracts of ocimum canumsims (lamiaceae) leaves. International journal of medical and applied science, 4(1): 118-123.

- Subhadra Devi, V., Gopal Rao, M. and Uma Maheswari, M. 2014. Preliminary phytochemical screening of various extracts of Valeriana wallichii root. Sky Journal of Biochemistry Research, 3(9): 080 – 085.
- Sundaresan, V., Singh, S.P., Mishra, A.N., Shasany, Ajit, K., Darokar, Mahendra P., Kaira, Alok and Naqvi, A. A. 2009. Composition and Comparison of Essential Oils of Pogostemon cablin (Blanco) Benth. (Patchouli) and Pogostemon travancoricus Bedd. var. travancoricus. *Journal of Essential Oil Research*, 21(3): 220.
- The Wealth of India. 1998. A dictionary of India raw material and Industrial products, (Publications and Information Directorate, CSIR, New Delhi, Vol. II, 182-3.
- Vaishali Rai, M., Vinitha Ramanath Pai, Pratapchandra Kedilaya, H. and Smitha Hegde. 2013. Preliminary Phytochemical Screening of Members of Lamiaceae Family: Leucas linifolia, Coleus aromaticus and Pogestemon patchouli. *Int. J. Pharm. Sci. Rev. Res.*, 21(1): 131-137.
- Wadood, A., Ghufran, M., Jamal, S. B., Naeem, M., Khan, A., Ghaffar, R. and Asnad. 2013. Phytochemical Analysis of Medicinal Plants Occurring in Local Area of Mardan. *Biochem Anal. Biochem*, 2:1-4.
- Wagner, H., Baldt, S. and Zgainski, E.M. 1984. Plant Drug Analysis. Springer Verlag, Berlin/New York.
- World Health Organization, available from Home page on the internet, url http:// www.who.int / medicines/ areas / traditional/ definitions /en/.

\*\*\*\*\*\*