



RESEARCH ARTICLE

THIRD AND COMPLETE PERINEAL TEAR RISK FACTORS AND OUT COME AFTER TREATMENT

Hindumathi, M., *Venkatarao, S., Karthiki, J. and Saritha, A.

India

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 06th January, 2016

Received in revised form

14th February, 2016

Accepted 27th March, 2016

Published online 28th April, 2016

Keywords:

Episiotomy,
Third Degree and
Complete Perineal Tear,
Suturing, Antibiotics.

ABSTRACT

Aims & Objective: To identify the risk factors for third and complete perineal tears, treatment and followup of patients and recommendations for prevention of perineal tears.

Material & Methods: Study was conducted in Santhiram medical college from May 2014 to Nov 2015. 25 cases of vaginal delivery with third & complete perineal tears were selected. 21 cases were referred to our institution delivered elsewhere and 4 cases were from our institute. Our third degree perineal tears occurred due to instrumental delivery. All the cases were examined and differentiated into two groups: group 1 with third degree perineal tear and group 2 complete perineal tear. Cases which are referred within six hours of delivery received intravenous antibiotics and suturing was done immediately by layer technique with end to end anastomosis. Post-operatively antibiotics were continued. Patients were discharged on 6 postoperative day. Patients presented late after 24 hours were advised to wait for 3 months post partum and later taken up for repair. All the patients were followed 2 weeks & 8 weeks after repair.

Results: In our study common risk factor for perineal trauma was instrumental delivery, big baby anemia and prolonged labour. In addition primiparity is also a risk factor. Other risk factors being face to pubis delivery, breech presentation and shoulder dystocia. There was no influence of age, epidural anesthesia and medio lateral episiotomy in our study.

Conclusion: Identifying the risk factors, pre-conceptional care, regular antenatal check-ups, identification and treatment of risk factors in antenatal period, proper intrapartum care should be given to prevent occurrence of perineal trauma. Public education in mass media should be encouraged.

INTRODUCTION

The incidence of perineal tears is common in women specially in their first child birth since the perineum is quite firm and cannot stretch adequately. (Swash, 1993; Sultan, 1997) While an episiotomy can prevent major perineal tears to some extent, tears can still occur even after or with an episiotomy. As our college is situated in rural area we get many number of cases of complete perineal tear and third degree perineal tear in which most of the cases are being conducted in periphery by untrained dais. These cases are referred to our hospital, mostly un-sutured and few sutured by local doctors for followup of cases. Severe perineal trauma is associated with short term complications like traumatic post partum haemorrhage and shock, perineal pain, hemotoma, faecal incontinence, delayed complications like perineal abscess, utero vaginal prolapse, urinary incontinence (stress and urinary fistula) faecal incontinence, dyspareunia, feeling of slack vagina during coitus and increased rate of caesarean sections in future pregnancies. (3-6) Some of the causes of over stretching of perineum leading to perineal tear are big baby, contracted pelvis, instrumental deliveries, malpresentations like breech and malpositions like direct occipitoposterior position, face presentation shoulder dystocia and inelastic perineum due to the presence of scar. Our aim of present study is to assess the risk factors, outcome of treatment and steps to prevent the perineal tears.

*Corresponding author: Venkatarao, S.,
India.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted for one and half year from May 2014 to November 2015 in Santhiram medical college situated in rural area of Nandyal town. Total number of deliveries during that period was 4250. Out of which there were 4 cases of third degree perineal tear from our institution and 5 cases of third degree perineal tear were referred from outside. All 16 cases of complete perineal tear were referred to our hospital after delivering somewhere else. After taking thorough history and physical examination third and complete perineal tears were diagnosed. Cases of various ages and parity were included. Most of the cases were uneducated and belonging to low socioeconomic status. Most of the deliveries were conducted by unqualified doctors or untrained dai without proper support to perineum or some times unattended deliveries. Most of the patients with complete perineal tear had anemia, malnutrition and history of prolonged labour. Some patients had instrumental delivery, few patients had multiple risk factors. Some patients also had traumatic post partum hemorrhage. Based on degree of perineal tear patients were differentiated into two groups: Group 1 with third degree perineal tear and group 2 complete perineal tear. In group 1 four patients delivered in our institutions had third degree perineal tear, in these cases 3 patients had vacuum application and 1 patient had forceps delivery. Usually patients in our institutions receive low enema before delivery. So these patients receive no bowel preparation. Giving intravenous antibiotics patients are shifted to operation theatre and suturing done immediately.

The remaining five patients delivered out side and were referred to our hospital with in six hours who receive the same treatment. Patients in group 2 were referred to our hospital after 24 hours but less than five days. In four cases suturing was done, in local private hospital but there was perineal pain with swelling and discharge with infection. The sutures also given way. These patients were advised to wait for three months postpartum and later taken up for repair.

The patients were admitted four days prior to surgery. Patients were kept on antibiotics to cover gram positive, gram negative and anaerobic bacteria. Pre operatively patients were advised liquid diet, bowel preparation was done, everyday for three days. Surgery was done by layer technique with end to end anastomosis. 2-0 chromic catgut is used in ten patients and vicryl 2-0 is used in six patients. Postoperatively antibiotics continued and nil by mouth for one day, oral fluids started after 24 hours. And non residual diet started on second day. Laxatives are prescribed for two weeks; patients are discharged on sixth postoperative day. Patients are reviewed on second week and eighth week; patients were also explained regarding performing perineal exercises.

RESULTS

Most of the patients in our study were in the age group of 20 to 25 years. Incidence of perineal trauma is also high in primiparity group. Out of 25 cases perineal trauma 21 cases were referred from out side and 4 cases were from our institutions which were due to instrumented deliveries. There are many risk factors associated with perineal trauma. Few patients had multiple risk factors. Most of the patients in our study sustained perineal trauma with babies birth weight 2.5 to 3.0 kgs in 14 cases. 10 cases had birth weight between 3 to 3.5 kgs and only one case with birth weight more than 3.5 kgs.

All the patients had episiotomy except 2 cases in which episiotomy was not given. All the patients were followed. Three patients are lost in follow up. After 8 weeks patients were examined for tone of perineal muscles. 16 patients performed perineal exercises and had good tone and 9 patients did not perform exercises well and were advised follow up. Patient with complete perineal tear were advised elective caesarean section in next pregnancy. Patients with third degree perineal tear are advised to have regular followup and planned delivery in an institute or with qualified doctors to prevent recurrence. In our study there were 2 cases of failure with gaping of wound and one patient had low rectovaginal fistula. There were no maternal deaths in our study.

No of cases	Degrees of perineal tear
9	Third degree
16	Fourth degree
25	Total

Age wise distribution of perineal tear

Parity	No of cases
<18	0
18-20	5
20-25	11
25-30	7
>30	2
Total	25

Influence of parity

Primiparity	17
Multi patity	8
Total	25

Place of occurrence

Reffered from out side	21
Institutional	4
Total	25

Risk factors

Shoulder dystocia	1
Anemia	9
Instrumental delivery	11
mal position	2
Mal presentation(breech)	1
Big baby	11
Prolonged labour	8

Note: Few patients had multiple risk factors

DISCUSSION

Incidence of third degree perineal tear is 0.6% in Sultan *et al* (Sultan *et al.*, 1994) and by Walsh *et al* (Walsh *et al.*, 1994) In our study the incidence is 0.09%. It is common that nulliparous women are at higher risk of anal sphincter tear than multiparous women (Sultan *et al.*, 1994; Bek and Laurberg, 1992) In our study primiparas women also had increased incidences perineal trauma, relative in elasticity of perineum in nullipara which is reduced after 1 or more delivery might be responsible for these tears in nulliparous women (Thacker and Banta, 1983; Combs *et al.*, 1990) In multiparous women high birth weight may not be a risk factor. Green and Soohoo (Green and SL, 1989) found a positive association between third degree perineal tear and birth weight over 4 kg. Other studies of Bek *et al.* 1992. [®] and Sultan *et al* (Sultan *et al.*, 1994) do support this. In our study it was common in birth weight 3 to 3.5 kg.

Use of forceps and vacuum the risk of anal sphincter tear increases similar to findings of other authors (Bek and Laurberg, 1992; Combs *et al.*, 1990). There were 3 cases of vacuum extraction and 1 case of forceps associated with third degree perineal in our institution. Different studies comparing perineal trauma between forceps and vacuum extraction have shown vacuum extraction to be less traumatic (Broekhuizen *et al.*, 1987; Baerthlein *et al.*, 1986; Meyer *et al.*, 1987). In addition Sultan *et al* (Sultan *et al.*, 1994) have revealed with endosonography sphincter defects in 80% of forceps delivery compared with none with vacuum deliveries. Induced labour is significantly associated with anal sphincter tear. Haadum *et al.* (1988) have found the same results. In our study 6 cases were induced labour.

Combs *et al.* (1990) suggest that relaxation of perineal musculature due to use of epidural anaesthesia prevent women from having an anal sphincter tear during instrumental delivery. This finding is in contrast with the results of other authors (Sultan *et al.*, 1994; Meyer *et al.*, 1987; Walker *et al.*, 1991) who found no relation between perineal trauma and epidural anaesthesia.

There was only one case of vacuum extraction with epidural anesthesia in our study. There is strong association between midline episiotomy and anal sphincter tear (Sultan *et al.*, 1993; Sultan *et al.*, 1994; Poen *et al.*, 1997; Bek and Laurberg, 1992) Klein *et al.* (1994) reported an odds ratio of 22.08. There fore in our country also midline episiotomy replaced by mediolateral episiotomy. Most authors (Sultan *et al.*, 1994; Bek and Laurberg, 1992; Thacker and Banta, 1983; Henriksen *et al.*, 1992; Argentine Episiotomy Collaborative Group, 1993) have recommended a conservative approach to wards the use of this type episiotomy in preventing anal sphincter tear. In a randomized control trail of Argentine episiotomy trail collaborative group (Argentine Episiotomy Collaborative Group, 1993) concluded that routine medio lateral episiotomy should be abonded and that mediolateral episiotomy rates above 30 % cannot be justified in their study. There were 2 cases of perineal trauma with out episiotomy, in remaining all cases episiotomy was given. The West Berkshire perineal management trail (Sleep *et al.*, 1984) provided little support for liberal use of medio lateral episiotomy. Beketal (Bek and Laurberg, 1992) found an increased risk of anal sphincter tear when medio lateral episiotomy is given. Borgatta *et al.* (1989) found a decreased risk of anal sphincter tear when mediolateral episiotomy was used in nulliparous women.

Risk factors presently found to be associatiated with sphincter tear confirm high birth weight (Sultan *et al.*, 1994 ; Poen *et al.*, 1997) episiotomy (Bek and Laurberg, 1992; Buekens *et al.*, 1985; Rockner *et al.*, 1989) vacuum extraction (Bek and Laurberg, 1992; Combs *et al.*, 1990; Johanson *et al.*, 1993) epidural anesthesia (Green and SL, 1989) the duration of second stage of labour was previously been reported to be unrelated to sphincter tear (Green and SL, 1989; Combs *et al.*, 1990). How ever Beketal and Laurberg (Bek and Laurberg, 1992) there was an association with an adjusted odds ratio of 4.06 which was adjusted to 1.6 in the multiple logistic regression analysis.

Conclusion

Perineal tears are common in vaginal delivery. Proper pre conceptional care, importance of regular antenatal check ups, identication and treatment of risk factors in antenatal period, proper intrapartum care by traditional birth attendents, birth position, good support of perineum are important for prevention of perineal trauma. In addition public education and awareness is also important. After repair of tear, regular follow up of patients and planned delivery in next pregnancy is essential to prevent recurrence.

REFERENCES

- Argentine Episiotomy Collaborative Group, 1993. Routine versus selective episiotomy: a randomized controlled trial. *Lancet*, 342: 15 1 7-1 5 18.
- Baerthlein, W.C., Moodley, S. Stinson, S.K. 1986. Comparison of maternal and neonatal morbidity in midforceps delivery and midpelvis vacuum extraction. *Obstet Gynecol.*, 67: 594-597.
- Bek, K.M. and Laurberg, S. 1992. Intervention during labor: risk factors associated with complete tear of the anal sphincter. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*, 71: 520-524.
- Bek, K.M. and Laurberg, S. 1992. Intervention during labour: risk factors associated with complete tear of the anal sphincter. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.*, 71: 520-524.ss
- Borgotta, L., Piening, S. and Cohen, W. 1989. Association of episiotomy and delivery position with deep perineal laceration during spontaneous delivery in nulliparous women. *Am J Obsfef Gynecol.*, 160: 294-298.
- Broekhuizen, F.F., Washington, J.M., Johnson, F. and Hamilton, P.R. 1987. Vacuum extraction versus forceps delivery: indications and complications, 1979 to 1984. *Obstet Gynecol.*, 69: 338-342.
- Buekens, P., Lagasse, R., Drarnaix, M. and Wollast, E. 1985. Episiotomy and third degree tears. *BrJ Obsfef Cynaecol.*, 92: 820-823.
- Combs, C.A., Robertson, P.A. and Laros, R.K. 1990. Risk factors for third-degree and fourth-degree perineal lacerations in forceps and vacuum deliveries. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.*, 163: 100-104
- Combs, C.A., Robertson, P.A., Laros, R.K. Jr. 1990. Risk factors for third-degree and fourth-degree perineal lacerations in forceps and vacuum deliveries. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.*, 163. 100-104.
- Fornell, E.K.U., Berg, G., Hallbook, O., Matthiesen, L.S. and Sjodahl, R. 1996. Clinical consequences of anal sphincter rupture during vaginal delivery. *J Am Coll Surg.*, 183: 553-558.
- Green, J. and SL, S. 1989. Factors associated with rectal injury in spontaneous deliveries. *Obstet Gynecol.*, 73: 732-738.
- Haadem, K., Ohrlander, S. and Lingman, G. 1988. Long-term ailments due to anal sphincter rupture caused by delivery-a hidden problem. *Eur J ObstetGynecol Reprod Biol.*, 27: 27-32.
- Haadem, K., Ohrlander, S. and Lingman, G. 1988. Long-term ailments due to anal sphincter rupture caused by delivery-a hidden problem. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Bioll988*; 27: 21-32.
- Henriksen, T.B., Bek, K.M., Hedegaard, M. and Secher, N.J. 1992. Episiotomy and perineal lesions in spontaneous vaginal deliveries. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol.*, 99: 950-954.
- Johanson, R.B., Rice, C., Doyle, M. *et al.* 1993. Arandomised prospective study comparing the new vacuum extractor policy with forceps delivery. *Er J Obstet Gynaecoi.*, LOO: 524-530.
- Klein, M.C., Gauthier, R.J., Robbins, J.M., *et al.* 1994. Relationship of episiotomy to perineal trauma and morbidity, sexual dysfunction, and pelvic floor relaxation. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.*, 171: 591-598.
- Meyer, L., Mailloux, J., Marcoux, S., Blanchet, P. and Meyer, F. 1987. Maternal and neonatal morbidity in instrumental deliveries with the Kobayashi vacuum extractor and low forceps. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*, 66: 643-647.
- Poen, A.C., Felt-Bersma, R.J.F., Dekker, G.A., Deville, W., Cuesta, M.A. and Meuwissen, S.G.M. 1997. Third degree obstetric perineal tears: risk factors and the preventive role of mediolateral episiotomy. *Br J Obsret Gynaecol.*, 104 563-566.
- Rockner, G., Wahlberg, V. and Olund, A. 1989. Episiotomy and perineal trauma during childbirth. *JAdv Nursing*, 14: 264-268.
- Sleep, J., Grant, A., Garcia, J., Elboume, D. and Spencer, J. 1984. West Berkshire perineal management trial. *BMJ*, 289: 587-590.

- Sultan, A.H. 1997. Anal incontinence after childbirth. *Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol.*, 9: 320-324.
- Sultan, A.H., Kamm, M.A., Hudson, C.M. and Bartram, C.I. Third degree obstetric anal sphincter tears: risk factors and outcome of primary repair
- Sultan, A.H., Kamm, M.A., Hudson, C.N. and Bartram, C.I. 1994. Third degree obstetric anal sphincter tears: risk factors and outcome of primary repair *BMJ*, 308: 887-891.
- Sultan, A.H., Kamm, M.A., Hudson, C.N. and Bartram, C.I. Third degree obstetric anal sphincter tears: risk factors and outcome of primary repair.
- Sultan, A.H., Kamm, M.A., Hudson, C.N., Thomas, J.M. and artram, C.I. 1993. Analsphincter disruption during vaginal delivery. *N Engl J Med.*, 329:
- Swash, M. 1993. Faecal incontinence. *EMJ*, 307 636-637.
- Thacker, S.B. and Banta, H.D. 1983. Benefits and risks of episiotomy: an interpretative review of the English language literature, 1860-1 980. *Obstet Gynecol. Surv.*, 38: 322-338.
- Walker, M., Farine, D., Robin, S. and Ritchie, J. 1991. Epidural anesthesia, episiotomy, and obstetric laceration. *Obster Gynecol.*, 77: 668-671.
- Walsh, C.J., Mooney, E.F., Upton, G.J. and Motson, R.W. Incidence of third degree perineal tears in labour and outcome after primary repair. *Br JS U19~96*; 83: 2 18-22 1.
- Wilcox, L.S., Strobino, D.M., Baruffi, G., Dellinger, W.S. Jr. 1989. Episiotomy and its role in the incidence of perineal lacerations in a maternity center and a tertiary hospital obstetric service. *Am J Obsfer Gynecol.*, 160: 1047-1052.
